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THE IMPORTANCE OF ASSESSMENTS AND BENCHMARKING SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOAL 4.1

TARGETS

4.1 By 2030, ensure that all girls and boys complete free, equitable and quality primary and secondary education leading to relevant and effective learning outcomes

INDICATORS

4.1.1 Proportion of children and young people: (a) in grades 2/3; (b) at the end of primary; and (c) at the end of lower secondary achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading and (ii) mathematics, by sex
CHALLENGES OF REPORTING TO A GLOBAL INDICATOR

ASSESSMENTS NOT COMPARABLE

• Differences in language
• Differences in assessments
• Lack of benchmarks

STATISTICAL LINKING IS COSTLY

• Requires testing the same students using multiple assessments or
• Testing the same item across multiple assessments
• One assessment must be linked to the indicator
POLICY LINKING OVERVIEW

- Create a set of global performance descriptors
- Countries can use those descriptors to set benchmarks for minimally proficient learners
- Country assessments are then linked across languages and contexts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Grade 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DECODING</td>
<td>Given a short grade-level text, learners can...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RETRIEVING INFORMATION</td>
<td>Identify the meaning of most unfamiliar words or familiar words used in unfamiliar ways (i.e., homophones)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decode most words in a connected text, including some unfamiliar ones</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
POLICY LINKING IN FOUR STEPS:

1. GLOBAL: Gather a common understanding of what countries expect their students to be able to do, based on existing curricula and assessments. (Done).

2. GLOBAL: Develop detailed, globally applicable, descriptions of what a minimally proficient student should be able to do, by grade level, for specific content domains. (Done).

3. LOCAL: Assemble a small workshop of local educators and specialists. For each question on an assessment, judge whether a theoretical local student, who meets the global definition of minimally proficient, should be able to answer correctly. Based on this, calculate the ‘cutoff score’ for minimum proficiency on the assessment. (Piloting).

4. LOCAL: Apply the cutoff score to existing assessment data to identify the percentage of students who meet the global definition of minimum proficiency. (Piloting).
**POLICY LINKING IMPLEMENTATION**

**PHASE 1: GAIN BUY-IN TO USE OF THE METHOD**

**PHASE 2: DRAFT GLOBAL PROFICIENCY FRAMEWORK (ONCE GLOBALLY)**

1. Define the content frameworks (work done by IBE and ACER)

2. Determine the proficiency levels and labels (GPLs) (general statements of what students in each performance level are expected to know and be able to do in relation to the content frameworks)

3. Develop the proficiency descriptors (GPDs)

   - **Definitions:**
     - **Partially meets minimum proficiency:** Students lack the most basic knowledge and skills.
     - **Meets minimum proficiency:** Students demonstrate basic knowledge and skills.
     - **Exceeds minimum proficiency:** Students demonstrate advanced knowledge and skills.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain and construct</th>
<th>Partially meets minimum proficiency</th>
<th>Meets minimum proficiency</th>
<th>Exceeds minimum proficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>NUMBER KNOWLEDGE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number sense (counting, reading, writing, comparing, and ordering)</td>
<td>- Count, read, write, compare, and order whole numbers up to 30.</td>
<td>- Count, read, write, compare, and order whole numbers up to 100.</td>
<td>- Count backwards from 20 and skip count forwards using twos, fives, and tens.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number sense (using place value and rounding)</td>
<td>- Represent quantities up to 30 concretely, pictorially, and with symbolically (with numerals).</td>
<td>- Represent quantities up to 100 concretely, pictorially, and symbolically.</td>
<td>- Identify the value of a digit based on its place-value position.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations (adding and subtracting)</td>
<td>- Solve addition and subtraction problems within 10 that are presented concretely, pictorially, and symbolically.</td>
<td>- Solve addition and subtraction problems within 20 that are presented concretely, pictorially, and symbolically.</td>
<td>- Solve addition and subtraction problems within 30 that are presented concretely, pictorially, and symbolically.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PHASE 3: CONDUCT BENCHMARKING WORKSHOPS (ONCE PER INTERESTED COUNTRY)

1. Check alignment between the Global Proficiency Framework and the Assessment

2. Prepare for the benchmarking workshop
   a. Select facilitators
   b. Select panelists
   c. Prepare materials

3. Conduct the benchmarking workshop
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word no.</th>
<th>Round 1 individual and independent predictions</th>
<th>Round 2 individual and independent predictions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lisa</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>was</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>very</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sad</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>His</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grandfather's</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sheep</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>could</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>not</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>go</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>look</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>them</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grandfather</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>came</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>look</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>them</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>soon</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>he</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>returned</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sheep</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisa</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>is</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smiling</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>now</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
POLICY LINKING ADVANTAGES

• Low cost
• Relatively quick
• Allows all countries to keep their current national assessments and timelines
• Ensures countries have benchmarks
• Provides an internationally-leveled proficiency framework that can be used locally
  - To inform policy and instruction
  - To create an assessment
• Completed in-country by teachers
  - Owned by the local government
• Not dependent on the current performance of learners
NEXT STEPS FOR POLICY LINKING

- Global Proficiency Framework finalized
- Policy Linking Toolkit finalized
- Pilot test/evaluate the methodology/toolkit
- Evaluation/validation
- Adapt and finalize
- Communicate, dissemination, training